



State Water Implementation Fund for Texas 2016 Abridged Applications - Prioritization Point System Detail

Entity Name	Project Name	31 TAC 363.1304	HIGHEST CONSIDERATION (50 point maximum)										ADDITIONAL CRITERIA (50 points maximum)															
			(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(7)		(8)		(9)		(10)		(11-A)		(11-B)		(11-C)		(11-D)		(12)	
			Population (6-30 pts)	Score	Urban/Rural (10+ 4/per - 30 pts)	Score	Regionalization (5/per - 30 pts)	Score	% Needs Met (10-30 pts)	Score	Local Contribution (1-5 pts)	Score	HH Cost Factor (1-2 pts)	Score	Emergency Need (3-5 pts)	Score	Readiness to Proceed (3-8 pts)	Score	Municipal (2-10 pts)	Score	Water Loss Threshold (0-5 pts)	Score	Wholesale (2-10 pts)	Score	Ag Efficiency (1-15 pts)	Result	Score	Regional Priority (3-15 pts)
Austin, City of	Advanced Metering Infrastructure	86	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	B&C	5	< 2%	0	Yes	5	≥ 18%	10	NA	0	top 10%	15
North Harris County Regional Water District	Transmission 2020 (Second Source)	86	750k-999,999	24	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 50%	5	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	10%-13.9%	6	NA	0	top 10%	15
Central Harris County Regional Water Authority	Transmission and Distribution Expansion	85	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 10%	1	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	≥ 18%	10	NA	0	top 10%	15
North Harris County Regional Water District	Internal 2020 Distribution System	85	750k-999,999	24	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 40%	4	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	10%-13.9%	6	NA	0	top 10%	15
Trophy Club Municipal Water District #1	Increase Delivery Infrastructure from Ft. Worth	84	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + 1 rural	10	2 entities	10	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	C only	2	< 2%	0	Yes	5	≥ 18%	10	NA	0	top 10%	15
Central Harris County Regional Water Authority	Shared Transmission	84	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	≥ 18%	10	NA	0	top 10%	15
North Texas Municipal Water District	Treatment and Treated Water Distribution Improvements	79	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	≥ 10%	1	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B&C	5	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	10%-13.9%	6	NA	0	top 10%	15
Schertz Seguin Local Government Corporation	Expanded Carrizo	74	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + 1 rural	10	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A only	3	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	6%-9.9%	4	NA	0	top 10%	15
El Paso (PSB), City of	Groundwater Importation	70	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + 4 rural	22	5 entities	25	< 50%	0	≥ 10%	1	1%-2%	1	NA	0	B only	3	< 2%	0	Yes	5	≥ 18%	10	NA	0	bottom 20%	0
Upper Guadalupe River Authority	Off-Channel Surface Water Storage	68	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + 2 rural	14	3 entities	15	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	Earlier decade	1	NA	0	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	2%-5.9%	2	NA	0	top 10%	15
San Antonio Water System	SAWS Vista Ridge Integration	67	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + 2 rural	14	3 entities	15	≥ 75%	20	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B&C	5	< 2%	0	Yes	5	2%-5.9%	2	NA	0	top 80%	3
San Angelo, City of	Reclaimed Water Project	61	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 75%	20	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	Earlier decade	1	A&B	6	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Austin, City of	Direct Reuse Strategy	60	≥ 1M	30	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 60%	6
Sabine River Authority	Sabine River Authority Pump Station	55	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 10%	15
United Irrigation District	Off-Channel Storage Facility	50	250k-499,999	12	NA	0	3 entities	15	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	NA	0	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Wholesale	-	< 2%	0	2%-5.9%	3	top 20%	12
Bryan, City of	Aquifer Storage & Recovery	48	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	2 entities	10	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	Earlier decade	1	A,B, & C	8	< 2%	0	Yes	5	2%-5.9%	2	NA	0	top 10%	15
Seabrook, City of	Municipal Conservation & Water Loss Reduction	43	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Cleburne, City of	West Loop Reuse	39	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	Earlier decade	1	A,B, & C	8	14%-17.9%	8	No	0	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 10%	15
Gastonia-Scurry Special Utility District	Connect to Seagoville	36	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A only	3	14%-17.9%	8	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Anthony, Town of	Additional Groundwater Well	34	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	Earlier decade	1	B&C	5	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Keller, City of	Enhanced Water Loss Control and Conservation Program	28	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 50%	10	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B&C	5	< 2%	0	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	bottom 20%	0
Pearland, City of	City of Pearland Surface Water Treatment Plant	28	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	1 entity	5	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	C only	2	< 2%	0	No	0	6%-9.9%	4	NA	0	top 40%	9
Loop 360 Water Supply Corporation	Automated Control Filter Valves	27	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	B&C	5	6%-9.9%	4	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Harris County Municipal Utility District #50	Municipal Conservation & Water Loss Reduction	25	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	C only	2	≥ 18%	10	No	0	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Beeville, City of	Chase Field project	25	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B&C	5	< 2%	0	Yes	5	6%-9.9%	4	NA	0	top 80%	3
Yoakum, City of	Municipal Water Conservation: AMI Water Meter Upgrade	23	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	≥ 20%	2	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B only	3	6%-9.9%	4	No	0	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12
Waco, City of	Advanced Metering Infrastructure	21	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	A,B, & C	8	2%-5.9%	2	Yes	5	< 2%	0	NA	0	bottom 20%	0
Cleveland, City of	Municipal Conservation & Water Loss Reduction	18	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	C only	2	6%-9.9%	4	No	0	< 2%	0	NA	0	top 20%	12

Note: A "Wholesale" result under the Water Loss Threshold criteria indicates that the applicant is a wholesale water provider, and therefore the requirement to conduct water loss audits is not applicable.